

Christopher Roller  
(Plaintiff)

Civil Action No. 07-1298

vs.

Central Intelligence Agency  
(Defendant)

Amended Complaint.

### **Amended Complaint**

Per FRCP Rule 15 (a), "A party may amend the party's pleading once as a matter of course at any time before a responsive pleading is served".

The response has not occurred yet, so I would like to amend the complaint, this document added to the original complaint, in it's entirety. Main point, those "statements" need to appear in the reply.

Now, I'm quite certain godly powers are being used by the CIA. On March 6, 2007, I believe the remainder of the planet was refreshed with godly powers. Now everybody has them.

I have found the legal process to be so slow. So why does the LSAT have to be done so quickly. Something's not right. I found this online:

*There is some question of the utility of this book. All of these tests were created in whole or in part before the current LSAT test producer (ACT) took over from LSAS. PrepTests before 11 (including 18 & 16) were made entirely by LSAS. Tests 12-15 were partly written by ACT but they had to use some of LSAS's questions. After December 1995 all the tests were made wholly by ACT (Preptest 17, the "Official LSAT PrepTest with Explanations", the SuperPrep tests, and PrepTests 19+).*

*Since 1995, the writing committee has undergone significant changes, making a serious change in the language of the tests noticeable. Furthermore, the "logical games" sections of these tests are SIGNIFICANTLY easier than the current games. Simply put, too many people were doing well; the LSAT made the games harder.*

"SIGNIFICANTLY easier" - "too many people were doing well" means "too easy" translates into "it wasn't impossible" meaning "it was only possible with godly powers". Since 1995, you needed godly powers in order to pass the LSAT. That means that nearly every lawyer produced since 1999 has godly powers. That's huge fraud, and that's a class action law suit against LSAT with plaintiff class being perspective students that couldn't pass the test because they had no godly powers.

So I want to turn this case into a class action law suit per Rule 23 - class vs class. First, Chris vs CIA, then query and find Chris vs All Infringers, then when I investigate the infringers, find out what wrongs to others they've done related to the patent of godly powers, then incorporate the wronged class as the plaintiff in this case. For Instance, I find out about LSAT, then I will query and introduce the wronged perspective students as plaintiffs in this case vs LSAT. So it is a many vs many - class vs class law suit.

Another example is the legal system. I will query and find out law cases. If the defense, plaintiff, judge, or even members of the jury had godly powers in the case, it's fraud, and either the case will have to be retried, or a party may become a member of the plaintiff class in this case against the frauds involved as the defendant.

This could get very complicated. Another example is churches that preach to God and give tithes in the name of God. Recent findings from my James Randi case prove I'm officially God, and will want a cut of the tithe offerings. I will be querying for that. Also, I hear that charities are giving in the name of God. I want of cut of everything in the name of God.

The atom, at it's subatomic level, is only described by phenomenons - don't know how it works. That's godly. That means I get credit for matter and energy (energy is matter  $e=mc^2$ ). That means the Earth, including diamond mines, gold mines, oil, coal, everything earthly, is mine. In addition, I get a cut of industries producing electricity, magnetism, gravity, strong force, weak force, etc., which is godly. When patent laws go outside the planet (universal) which it will soon, I get credit for the universe full of matter and energy because I'm God. I guess I get credit for the big bang although I wasn't around when it happened. I get credit for all God's living creatures (animals, insects, etc). All people are God's children, and are also matter. That means I own them too.

People will have trouble believing that all people on Earth are God's (my) children. This is going to be key...we're going to test the children affected by autism. I have magic offspring with my DNA, but I believe most of the autism victims are not related to me by DNA. If my DNA is not part of victim DNA, and they were affected by my drugged up state, then that means that all children/people are mine - God's children. This will also prove I'm God based on the "God's children" dogma. I can name the exact dates at which I could hold heaven/hell - spiritual awakening. Even my demons, who I hate, are my children because their spirit is part of my essence. That's how autism occurred in children without my DNA, because their spirit is part of my essence, and my essence was tainted

by the huge dosage of drugs I was on. This will be a HUGE class action lawsuit against the psychiatrist community in the upcoming years.

It should be a real interesting class action case if/when I find out that my demons have been taking the dead, raising them in some Bubba zone, then beating and raping them - essentially, a hell after death. I seriously doubt they would raise and then do some good for the dead - a heaven. Man, I'm really curious about this one. If so, it sure would have been nice if John Edward could really talk with the dead to find that one out. And if true, then when we raise the dead, they will become yet another victim class as plaintiff.

And the sky is the limit. This case will probably take more than 100 years to get sorted out. There may be millions of plaintiffs and defendants in this case. Billions when investigated globally. Since the universe is infinite and I own it, I will probably be worth \$infinite (that's 1 with infinite zeros after it) by the time my patent is done.

It's all based on fraud. If you had godly powers, you are a fraud. Like to see Tunheim, who's a fraud, write this one off like he did the Copperfield case. Minnesota, where the "godly powers" patent resides, has a 6 year statute of limitations upon the discovery of the fraud. Depending on the fraud, there may be criminal charges. Wrongs against me and others will be prosecuted. Home of the patent is Minnesota, and from USC 28 § 1338, Minnesota Federal court has exclusive jurisdiction in a patent infringement case, applying laws of the state where appropriate.

CONCLUSION

I am suing the Central Intelligence Agency, class action, for probable patent infringement in accordance with U.S.C 35 § 27.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: \_\_29 Mar  
2007\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_s/\_Christopher A. Roller\_\_\_\_\_  
Christopher Roller (pro se)  
13150 Harriet Ave. S #273  
Burnsville, MN 55337  
952.239.6410