

**United States District Court
District of Minnesota**

Christopher Roller
(Plaintiff)

vs.

Civil Action No. 07-1298 JNE/JJG
Motion for Joinder of Parties

Central Intelligence Agency
(Defendant)

Motion for Joinder of Parties

Christopher Roller is asking for permission for Joinder of Parties to add more defendants to this case per Rule 20 Permissive Joinder of Parties. In particular, LSAC (LSAT) I mentioned in the amended complaint. This is in preparation for class action. I've talked with a seasoned lawyer and he says that most likely, class actions on multi-defendants are handled as separate joinders with separate defenses (a common representative is unlikely). This joinder is in preparation for this type of class action.

All persons (and any vessel, cargo or other property subject to admiralty process in rem) may be joined in one action as defendants

1. if there is asserted against them jointly, severally, or in the alternative, any right to relief in respect of or arising out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences
2. and if any question of law or fact common to all defendants will arise in the action

It appears everybody is infringing on my patent per U.S.C 35 § 271. That is common law among the defendants (#2).

if there is asserted against them jointly, severally, or in the alternative, any right to relief in respect of or arising out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. The series of transactions/occurrences is my godly powers developing over the past 40 years, my life events, and My Truman Show, which took place over the last 22 years, all of this related to my patent on godly powers, the hiding of financial gain of godly powers, and offenses/crimes committed against Chris Roller since 1985.

If I don't get this joinder, then I have to open another case, and the impression I get from Judge Franklin Noel are that my patent cases should all be consolidated anyways. Also, I cannot afford to open up 10 more cases @ \$350/crack. Once I get a judge to love me and grant me some money, then I can afford \$350/crack. It makes sense to joinder a party instead of a new case then consolidate. It makes sense in the name of adjudication and financial burden on the plaintiff, Chris Roller.

CONCLUSION

For reasons above, in step to class action certification, Christopher Roller is asking for permission for Joinder of Parties to add more defendants to this case per Rule 20 Permissive Joinder of Parties.

Date: __30 May 2007__

____s/_Christopher A. Roller____

Christopher Roller (pro se)

13150 Harriet Ave. S #273

Burnsville , MN 55337

952.239.6410