

Dear Clerk,

I've been approved of Forma Paupis.

Please file the letter and two Exhibits (interrogatory response2 and Document Response 1).

Thanks,  
Chris Roller

# State of Minnesota

Christopher Roller  
(Plaintiff)

vs.

Wagner, Falconer & Judd, Ltd (WFJ) via  
Prepaid Legal c/o Dan Smith

Honorable Judge Mark Boris via WFJ and  
Bosley and State of Minnesota

Attorney Alexander Gese (RKMC) via  
Bosley

(Defendant)

Judicial District: 4  
County: Hennepin  
File Number: 27-CV-09-22812  
Cast Type: Civil

## Letter to the Judge 2

RKMC has been responsive to interrogatory and document request, but not voluntary. WFJ has not responded to any request in discovery.

Exhibit Inter 2 show two page 4s, notarized by Alex Gese's relative (wife?) Abby Gese. With the 2 page 4s, it is improperly signed, improper affidavit, with refusal from RKMC to correct the document, and properly notarize the lies they are trying to swear to in the answers given.

Exhibit Document Request 1 states, "Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P. ("RKMC") is not a party in this action", which is a lie. So I asked for this document resigned under oath, with refusal.

RKMC doesn't want to volunteer any further information. WFJ doesn't even want to respond to ANY questions. Judge Mark doesn't even want to partake in the lawsuit.

It appears the defense doesn't want to help conduct a proper investigation. But that's why there is a trial in front of a jury, to help conduct the investigation, to find out why RKMC and WFJ conspired with Judge Mark Baris to dismiss a case outright - a felony.

So far, there has been no oath-ful negations to any of my allegations in this case. That makes them facts and claims for relief. The defendants boldly throw away interrogatory deadlines and avoid most efforts of discovery. The defendant for WFJ did not even respond to any discovery requests. Also, State of MN (Judge Mark Boris) refuses to take part in this case unless the case header includes him.

I've been asking for a deposition of the clients in the case with no reply. I would like to schedule a telephone conference to arrange the deposition.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: \_\_\_\_3 Feb 2010\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_s/\_Christopher A. Roller\_\_\_\_  
Christopher Roller (pro se)  
14806 Quentin Ave S  
Savage, MN 55378  
952.232.0612

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN

DISTRICT COURT  
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

---

Christopher Roller,  
Plaintiff,

Case No.: 27-CV-09-22812

vs.

Wagner, Falconer & Judd, Ltd(WFJ) via Prepaid  
Legal c/o Dan Smith

Defendants Alexander Gese's and  
Bosley, Inc.'s Answers to Plaintiff's  
Second Set of Interrogatories

Honorable Judge Mark Boris via WFJ and  
Bosley and State of Minnesota

Attorney Alexander Gese via Bosley,  
Defendant.

---

**To: Plaintiff Christopher Roller, *Pro Se*, 14806 Quentin Avenue South, Savage,  
MN 55378**

Defendants Alexander Gese and Bosley, Inc., by and through their counsel,  
answer Plaintiff Roller's Second Set of Interrogatories as follows:

**INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

Do you understand that (no direct and clear answer) or (Non-direct pleading-the-5th response) indicates the worst inference by a jury in a civil trial.

**ANSWER:**

No. Objection to the form of the question as being vague and ambiguous and not in compliance with Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.02.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

Did you commit any crimes against Chris Roller? (No direct and clear answer) or (Non-direct pleading-the-5th response) indicates you committed crime(s).

**ANSWER:**

No. Objection to the form of the question as being vague and ambiguous and not in compliance with Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.02.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

Do you and/or RKMC hate Chris Roller. Describe the hatred that RKMC ensues when thinking of Chris Roller. (No direct and clear answer) or (Non-direct pleading-the-5th response) indicates extreme hatred toward Chris Roller.

**ANSWER:**

No. Objection to the form of the question as being vague and ambiguous and not in compliance with Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.02.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

Did Alex Gese and/or RKMC conspire with Judge Mark Baris to dismiss case Conciliation Court District 4 080327031 in 2008? (No direct and clear answer) or (Non-direct pleading-the-5th response) indicates you committed this crime, a claim for relief.

**ANSWER:**

No. Objection to the form of the question as being vague and ambiguous and not in compliance with Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.02.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

Did Alex Gese and/or RKMC conspire with Dan Smith of WFJ, to dismiss case Conciliation Court District 4 080327031 in 2008? (No direct and clear answer) or (Non-direct pleading-the-5th response) indicates you committed this crime, a claim for relief.

**ANSWER:**

No. Objection to the form of the question as being vague and ambiguous and not in compliance with Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.02.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

Did you conspire to kill Chris Roller? ? (No direct and clear answer) or (Non-direct pleading-the-5th response) indicates you committed this crime, a claim for relief.

**ANSWER:**

No. Objection to the form of the question as being vague and ambiguous and not in compliance with Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.02.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

Do you have access to any of Chris Roller's children? ? (No direct and clear answer) or (Non-direct pleading-the-5th response) indicates you have access to Chris Roller's children.

**ANSWER:**

No. Objection to the form of the question as being vague and ambiguous and not in compliance with Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.02.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

Have you molested any of Chris Roller's children? ? (No direct and clear answer) or (Non-direct pleading-the-5th response) indicates you committed this crime, a claim for relief.

**ANSWER:**


No. Objection to the form of the question as being vague and ambiguous and not in compliance with Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.02.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Did Bosley conspire to kill Chris Roller? ? (No direct and clear answer) or (Non-direct pleading-the-5th response) indicates Bosley committed this crime, a claim for relief.


**ANSWER:**

No. Objection to the form of the question as being vague and ambiguous and not in compliance with Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.02.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Alexander Gese

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
on ~~December~~ \_\_\_\_\_, 2009 JANUARY 8, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public



\_\_\_\_\_  
An Officer  
Bosley, Inc.

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
on December \_\_\_\_\_, 2009

\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

**INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Did Bosley conspire to kill Chris Roller? ? (No direct and clear answer) or (Non-direct pleading-the-5th response) indicates Bosley committed this crime, a claim for relief.

**ANSWER:**

No. Objection to the form of the question as being vague and ambiguous and not in compliance with Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.02.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alexander Gese

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
on December \_\_\_\_\_, 2009


\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public



\_\_\_\_\_  
Roger J. Aull  
Bosley, Inc.

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
on December 17, 2009

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public



DATED: December \_\_, 2009

**ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.**

*Richard B. Allyn*

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Richard B. Allyn, Atty. No. 1338

2800 LaSalle Plaza

800 LaSalle Avenue

Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015

612-349-8500

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS**

**ALEXANDER GESE AND BOSLEY, INC.**

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN

DISTRICT COURT  
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

---

Christopher Roller,

Case No.: 27-CV-09-22812

Plaintiff,

vs.

Wagner, Falconer & Judd, Ltd(WFJ) via Prepaid  
Legal c/o Dan Smith

**Responses to Christopher Roller**  
**Document Requests to RKMC**

Honorable Judge Mark Boris via WFJ and  
Bosley and State of Minnesota

Attorney Alexander Gese via Bosley,

Defendant.

---

**To: Plaintiff Christopher Roller, *Pro Se*, 14806 Quentin Avenue South, Savage,  
MN 55378**

Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi, L.L.P., by and through its counsel, responds to  
“Christopher Roller Document Requests to Defendant RKMC” as follows:

**REQUEST NO. 1:**

Produce all documents relied on in answering all Interrogatories thus far or which  
relate to or substantiate your answers to those Interrogatories.

**RESPONSE:**

Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P. (“RKMC”) is not a party in this action and,  
as such, has not submitted answers to interrogatories. For interrogatory answers it has  
co-signed with defendants, it relied on the complaint herein and upon public records  
including the court record in Case No. 080327031, Hennepin County District Court –  
Conciliation. Plaintiff has possession or access to these documents.

**REQUEST NO. 2:**

I need to know how to extract large sums of money from your company. Produce all documents showing funding sources for your company. Include all relationships to other companies providing financial influence in this lawsuit, prior/during/post lawsuit commencement.

**RESPONSE:**

Objection to the form of the request. It fails to comply with Minn. R. Civ. Pro. 26 and 34 and seeks documents outside the permissible scope of discovery.

**REQUEST NO. 3:**

Produce all documents that you propose to use during the preparation for or litigation of this matter and all documents that you believe support your claims.

**RESPONSE:**

Objection to the form of the request. It fails to comply with Minn. R. Civ. Pro. 26 and 34 and seeks documents outside the permissible scope of discovery including attorney work product. Further, Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P. is not a party in this action and, as such, has neither served an answer nor asserted claims.

**REQUEST NO. 4:**

Produce any document that you believe supports any aspect of the complaint or answer.

**RESPONSE:**

There are no documents that support the Christopher Roller complaint in this matter and no answer was served.

**REQUEST NO. 5:**

Produce any audio or visual recording related to the allegations in the complaint or answer.

**RESPONSE:**

None.

**REQUEST NO. 6:**

Produce all documents, including correspondence, expert reports and drafts of reports, which were prepared by each person whom you expect to call as an expert witness at trial and the data upon which said expert witness bases the opinions and conclusions contained therein.

**RESPONSE:**

None.

**REQUEST NO. 7:**

Produce all statements which were made by, or notes of or correspondence relating to discussions you have had with, any persons with knowledge of any facts alleged in your answer or facts that you believe support your claims.

**RESPONSE:**

Objection to the form of the request. It fails to comply with Minn. R. Civ. Pro. 26 and 34 and seeks documents outside the permissible scope of discovery including attorney work product. Further, Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P. is not a party in this action and, as such, has neither served an answer nor asserted claims.

**REQUEST NO. 8:**


Produce all documents including, but not limited to, calendars, diaries, notes, memoranda, or correspondence that reference, summarize, describe, constitute or restate any conversations, interviews, or meetings you or your representatives have had with any individual (other than your attorney) concerning any fact or theory alleged in your answer.

**RESPONSE:**

Objection to the form of the request. It fails to comply with Minn. R. Civ. Pro. 26 and 34 and seeks documents outside the permissible scope of discovery including attorney work product. Further, Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P. is not a party in this action and, as such, has served no answer.

DATED: January 23, 2010

**ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.**



By: \_\_\_\_\_

Richard B. Allyn, Atty. No. 1338

2800 LaSalle Plaza

800 LaSalle Avenue

Minneapolis, MN 55402-2015

612-349-8500

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS**

**ALEXANDER GESE AND BOSLEY, INC.**

81180291.1