

Minnesota District 1 Judicial Court case #19-C7-05-6586

Quello/Fairview Exit Diagnosis (Slander)

The defendant claims that there is a remarkable system, according to statute 253B.05, which allows doctors and psychiatrists to put somebody into a cage without any justification, remorse, justice, or damages. Laws like these are made to protect doctors and the public from law suits, but from dangerous and unstable mentally ill patients - not smart, ingenious, witty, caring, and lovable people like Chris Roller who is speaking his religious freedom. Dan Reeves needs to explain what exactly I said that led him to believe that I was going to harm or kill somebody imminently. I am trying to one day run for President of the United States, and that's not going to likely happen if I'm going to harm someone imminently. I did not deserve to be caged up during election day - a day where a few people were perhaps writing me in on their voting ballot.

Medical professionals carry a huge degree of stature and credibility - when they say something, it's usually true. When a doctor says you have cancer, chances are very likely you do indeed have cancer. When the doctor says that I'm going to harm or kill somebody imminently, sporadically, and unpredictably, many people are going to believe it, and that damages my credibility, my stature, and a future ability to perform an important job, including the presidency. The defense states that I have not presented a claim worthy of relief. Evidently they forgot to read my initial statement. It's called slander and libel - damage to my reputation, past and future.

253B.05 (Sub1b)

The statement of information must include, to the extent available, direct observations of the proposed patient's behaviors, reliable knowledge of recent and past behavior, and information regarding psychiatric history, past treatment, and current mental health providers.

- Dan Reeves did no research of this nature. He didn't consult with Dan Schubert. He didn't find nor state any official (reliable) references to medical reports in his report, only what I told him. Dan even states I was "pleasantly" delusional. Since everybody's delusional, how can pleasantly delusional be a danger to anybody.

253B.05 (Sub1c3)

If danger to specific individuals is a basis for the emergency hold, the statement must identify those individuals.

- There were no specifics to who was in danger, because there was nobody really in danger. Dan Reeves was delusional, and professionally negligent.

The doctors are calling me psychotic (and more), which is usually associated with psychotic killer, or one which is a threat to others - and that's why Dan caged me. Then Fairview checked me out, calling me psychotic some more. It's in the records. Fairview also threatened to keep me in the cage unless I

took an MRI scan. I wanted to do it while free (from the cage), but the threat was made, and I complied.

psychosis-A severe mental disorder, with or without organic damage, characterized by derangement of personality and loss of contact with reality and causing deterioration of normal social functioning.

The defense wants to state that I have no proof to counter Dan's good faith efforts in caging me up. I don't need to prove that. The proof is that the doctors called me psychotic upon exiting my 72 hour hold. I am called mentally ill, psychotic, bipolar, and delusional. Mental illness has some serious side effects with public perception. In talking with an expert nurse, she and her mother are both psychiatric nurses. She says that most people who get diagnosed with mental illness often result in deterioration of social status - many to the point in which they're living in the streets, homeless. She said that this occurs largely from the fact that they can't get a job because they've been diagnosed with mental illness. It's not the mental illness that they allegedly have that caused their homelessness - it's being called mentally ill that lost the job and put them on the street.

My situation is not much different. I would be homeless if I didn't have Social Security disability and good parents. If I would never have been diagnosed with mental illness, I probably would not have lost my last job, been placed on Social Security Disability, and been divorced. My hardships in the last six years can be largely contributed to the fact that I have been diagnosed with mental illness, and like a plague of continuance, it keeps haunting me, in the form of more caging. Nobody gives me any credibility for anything I say, and many people are scared of people with mental illness because an insanity defense essentially gives a mentally ill person a license to kill. One witness can testify to this a day after being let out of my cage - Jason Willey.

Social Security and the government should not be responsible for my disability. The doctors who call me mentally ill, without a shred of proof, should be responsible and liable for this perception of mental illness, psychotic, the diagnosis that I could harm or kill somebody imminently, sporadically, and unpredictably, all stemming from my website which states that I am god. And it's okay for me to state that because it's my religious freedom to be delusional - faith.

Now imagine the damages if my web site is actually true. I currently have a lawsuit against David Copperfield for using my magical powers without my permission. The case number is Cv. 05-446 JRT/FLN. When the suit matures, that would mean that I'm a psychotic god, who doesn't know reality and could possibly harm people with my magic - that's the devil. That's gonna cost a lot more - an extra 1 or 2 0s onto the price tag. It's going to take a lot of commercial time and money to counteract this stigma given to me by professional doctors who proclaim their status as credible.